



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,982	01/13/2004	Ali Saffari	IGT1P208F/P000888-004	2263
79646	7590	03/04/2011	EXAMINER	
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT			HALL, ARTHUR O	
Attn: IGT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 70250				
Oakland, CA 94612-0250			3718	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/755,982	SAFFARI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ARTHUR O. HALL	3718	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL.

(3) _____.

(2) Nish Patel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2011

Time: 4:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Arthur O Hall/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3718

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and applicants discussed claim amendments that would recite the multi-player wagering game as the primary game and the progressive jackpot for the secondary game in the body of the claim, and subsequent refer only to the primary game, and that would recite the last wherein clause that defines the primary near the beginning of the claim after the first instance of the primary game..